Sierra Oncology

Developing Transformative Therapies for Rare Cancers

January 2022

SIERRA ONCOLOGY

SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT

Except for statements of historical fact, any information contained in this presentation may be a forward-looking statement that reflects the Company's current views about future events and are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and changes in circumstances that may cause events or the Company's actual activities or results to differ significantly from those expressed in any forward-looking statement. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may", "will", "should", "plan", "predict", "expect," "estimate," "anticipate," "intend," "goal," "strategy," "believe," and similar expressions and variations thereof. Forward-looking statements may include statements regarding the Company's business strategy, cash flows and funding status, potential growth opportunities, preclinical and clinical development activities, the timing and results of preclinical research, clinical trials and potential regulatory approval and commercialization of product candidates. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, the Company cannot guarantee future events, results, actions, levels of activity, performance or achievements. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including those described under the heading "Risk Factors" in documents the Company has filed with the SEC. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this presentation and the Company undertakes no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof.

Certain information contained in this presentation may be derived from information provided by industry sources. The Company believes such information is accurate and that the sources from which it has been obtained are reliable. However, the Company cannot guarantee the accuracy of, and has not independently verified, such information.

TRADEMARKS:
The trademarks included herein are the property of the owners thereof and are used for reference purposes only. Such use should not be construed as an endorsement of such products.

SIERRA ONCOLOGY

Sierra Oncology Overview

Momelotinib is the potential treatment of choice for myelofibrosis patients with anemia

- Profile has demonstrated anemia improvement, symptom and spleen control, without platelet decreases

Less than 1 month from pivotal Phase 3 MOMENTUM data

- High probability of success trial; FDA approval and launch expected <18 months

~$3B addressable market* in anemic MF patients in the US

- ~15K prevalent patients with anemia in the US

Could become the cornerstone of future combinations in myelofibrosis

- Momelotinib + SRA515 combination will provide proof-of-concept
- Targeting patient subsets where the unmet medical need remains

Current market cap of ~$506M

- ~22M FD shares outstanding(1)
- ~$97.1M cash as of 9/30/21(2)

Source: Sierra Market Research
*Sierra estimates ~15k U.S. prevalent patients at $200k/patient/year

(1) Assuming treasury stock method to account for outstanding options and Series A warrants and $23 stock price
Additional $12.6M raised off ATM subsequent to the close of the third quarter.

Myelofibrosis: Disease Overview

Myelofibrosis (MF): a bone marrow cancer
- Caused by constitutive activation of JAK-STAT signaling
- Inflammation and fibrosis impair red blood cell production

Common manifestations of disease include constitutional symptoms, enlarged spleen and progressive anemia

Current treatments: JAK inhibitors are the mainstay option for intermediate and high-risk patients



Anemia and Hepcidin Predict Poor Survival in Myelofibrosis

Anemia of inflammation driven by elevated hepcidin

Elevated hepcidin inhibits iron transport and iron homeostasis

Anemia and elevated hepcidin are ***negative prognostic indicators***

New therapies should provide anemia benefits in addition to symptom, spleen benefits

Anemia Predicts Poor Survival in MF



Nicolosi et al. *Leukemia*. 2018

Transfusion Dependency Predicts Poor Survival in MF



Elena et al. *Haematologica*. 2011

Elevated Hepcidin Predicts Poor Survival in MF



Pardanani et al. *Am. J. Hematol*. 2013

Momelotinib Inhibits JAK1, JAK2 *and* ACVR1/ALK2



Hyperactive JAK-STAT signaling is driving the disease in myelofibrosis



Preclinical and clinical studies suggest that the clinical anemia benefits of momelotinib result from suppression of ACVR1/ALK2-mediated hepcidin production

Momelotinib Inhibits all Three Disease Drivers, Potentially Improving Splenomegaly and Symptoms of Myelofibrosis While Maintaining or Improving Hemoglobin

Asshoff, M. et. al. *Blood*. 2017;129(13):1823-1830. Oh, S. et al. *Blood Advances*. 2020;4(18):4282-4291.

Completed Phase 3 Studies SIMPLIFY-1 and 2

SIMPLIFY-1

1st-Line Population
JAK inhibitor naïve



Goal:	Non-Inferiority	
Endpoints at Week 24:	**MMB**	**RUX**
SRR ≥35% (primary)*	27%	29%
Symptom score reduction ≥50%	28%	42%
TI for ≥ 12weeks	67%	49%

*Met endpoint
Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2017 35(34):3844

SIMPLIFY-2

2nd-Line Population
Prior ruxolitinib complicated by hematologic toxicity



Goal:	Superiority	
Endpoints at Week 24:	**MMB**	**RUX/BAT**
SRR ≥35% (primary)	7%	6%
Symptom score reduction ≥50%	26%	6%
TI for ≥ 12weeks	43%	21%

The Lancet Haematology, 2018 5(2): 7

Comparative Efficacy MMB vs RUX/BAT in Anemic Patients

MMB's anemia benefits are accompanied by similar splenic and symptomatic response rates in SIMPLIFY-1 and significantly better symptom control relative to BAT in SIMPLIFY-2

Week 24 Response Rates

SIMPLIFY-1: Patients with Hgb ≤12 g/dL at Baseline



SIMPLIFY-2: Patients with Hgb ≤ 12 g/dL at Baseline



SIMPLIFY-1 Highlighted MMB's Unique Impact on Hemoglobin and Transfusions

Hemoglobin Level



Transfusion Requirement



Transfusion requirement was ~half for MMB vs. RUX

Momelotinib Demonstrated an Increase in Hemoglobin and a Decreased Transfusion Requirement vs. Ruxolitinib

Transfusion Independence is Achieved for Anemic Patients and all Patients, Irrespective of Baseline Platelets or Transfusion Status

SIMPLIFY-1 Anemic Patients
W24 TI-Response by Baseline Hgb



SIMPLIFY-1
W24 TI-Response by Baseline PLT



SIMPLIFY-1
W24 TI-Response by Baseline Transfusion Status



Momelotinib Ruxolitinib

The W24 TI-R Rate in S1 Was Higher in Patients Randomized to MMB vs RUX, Irrespective of the Degree of Baseline Anemia, or the Baseline PLT Count or Transfusion Status

Week 24 Transfusion Independence Response (TI-R): no RBC transfusion within ≥ 12 weeks immediately prior to Week 24, with Hgb ≥ 8 g/dL
Transfusion Dependent (TD): ≥4 units of RBCs or Hgb level, ≤ 8 g/dL in the 8 weeks prior to randomization
Transfusion Independent (TI): absence of RBC transfusions and no Hgb < 8 g/dL in the 12 weeks prior to randomization
Transfusion Requiring (TR): neither TD nor TI
HgB = hemoglobin, MMB = momelotinib, Plts = platelets, RUX = ruxolitinib, TD = transfusion dependent, TI = transfusion independent, TR = transfusion requiring
Kiladjian JJ. et.al. *European Hematology Association*, June 2021, poster EP1081; Virtual.

Transfusion Independence (TI) with Momelotinib is Associated with Improved Overall Survival

SIMPLIFY-1



SIMPLIFY-2



Achieving or Maintaining TI Predicted Better Survival in Patients Treated with Momelotinib – The Goal of Achieving TI Should Become an Important Driver of Treatment Decisions

Week 24 TI response = no RBC transfusion for ≥ 12 weeks immediately prior to Week 24, Hgb level ≥ 8 g/dL.
Mesa, R. et.al. *European Hematology Association*, June 2021, oral presentation S202; Virtual.

Safety and Tolerability from the SIMPLIFY Phase 3 Trials

- Safety generally similar for momelotinib, ruxolitinib in the 24-week double-blind period
  - Anemia and thrombocytopenia were more common in the ruxolitinib arm
  - Nausea was more common with momelotinib, as was the early withdrawal rate in S-1
- Tolerability persists with extended treatment
  - No evidence of long-term cumulative toxicity observed
- Safety profile enables long duration of dosing
  - Several patients from early trials have now received >10 years of continuous momelotinib therapy
  - Many patients from SIMPLIFY-1 and -2 continue to receive momelotinib

SIMPLIFY-1

Frequent TEAEs[1] by PT	Randomized Treatment Period	
	MMB (N=214)	RUX (N=216)
Pts with any TEAE, n (%)	198 (92.5%)	206 (95.4%)
Diarrhea	39 (18.2%)	43 (19.9%)
Anemia	31 (14.5%)	81 (37.5%)
Thrombocytopenia	40 (18.7%)	63 (29.2%)
Nausea	34 (15.9%)	8 (3.7%)
Fatigue	31 (14.5%)	26 (12.0%)

S-1 Extended

Most Frequent TEAEs[1] by PT	Extended duration MMB Final Safety Analysis (N=411)
Pts with any TEAE, n (%)	397 (96.6%)
Diarrhea	99 (24.1%)
Anemia	93 (22.6%)
Thrombocytopenia	94 (22.9%)
Nausea	85 (20.7%)
Fatigue	84 (20.4%)

[1] TEAEs occurring in >20% pts in the "Overall exposed to MMB" population including the 214 subjects receiving blinded momelotinib and 197 additional subjects who received momelotinib after cross-over from ruxolitinib

Anemia Could Become the Primary Driver of JAKi Choice

- Momelotinib could become the JAKi of choice for anemic myelofibrosis patients
  - In S-1 at baseline, ~75% of anemic with 30% requiring transfusions
  - In Rux-experienced patients, 90% are anemic with more than two thirds require transfusions
- A differentiated JAKi could allow hem/oncs to transition patients early in their treatment journey
- Upside potential with a broad label that allows for use in JAKi-naïve patients

JAKi Naïve Patients (S-1) – at Baseline



~30% Require Transfusions

RUX Experienced Patients (S-2) – at Baseline



~70% Require Transfusions

Source: SIMPLIFY-1, -2 analysis

Pivotal Phase 3 '*MOMENTUM*' Study: Topline Results Expected by February 2022

MOMENTUM
MYELOFIBROSIS CLINICAL TRIAL

Enrollment complete with 195 patients



Primary Endpoint

- Total symptom score (TSS) response rate at Week 24

Secondary Endpoints

- Transfusion independence (TI) rate at Week 24
- Splenic response rate (SRR) at Week 24

*Danazol was selected as an appropriate comparator given its use to ameliorate anemia in MF patients, as recommended by NCCN, ESMO guidelines.

Momelotinib + SRA515 Combinations Could Expand the Myelofibrosis Opportunity

Myelofibrosis landscape is evolving with multiple combination studies ongoing

- BET inhibition has shown initial proof-of-concept with disease-modifying potential
- Unlike other BET inhibitors, SRA515 has a novel bivalent binding bode
  - A stronger connection on the cellular level leads to improved potency
- SRA515 has favorable PK, PD and safety profile when dosed as monotherapy and in combination

Clinical validation of MMB + BET combination

- SRA515 has synergistic preclinical efficacy in combination with diverse agents
  - Best-in-class potential
- As a non-myelosuppressive inhibitor of JAK1, JAK2, and ACVR1, MMB is an ideal combination partner for novel agents
- SRA515+MMB has the potential to improve outcomes in patients with MF

Momelotinib + SRA515 may provide the opportunity for longer and more durable responses for myelofibrosis patients

MMB + SRA515 May be the "Winning" Combination

- ✓ **SRA515 potentially the most potent BETi with selective target inhibition**
  - Novel bivalent binding mode; Allows for maintained dosing durability
- ✓ **MMB may be the best combination agent as only JAKi with anemia benefit in MF**
  - Novel JAK1, JAK2 and ACVR1/ALK2 MOA does not add to myelosuppression of BETi
- ✓ **Sierra wholly owns both compounds, allowing for data-driven development approach**
- ✓ **Distinct advantage of extensive MMB clinical experience with almost 1,000 MF patients dosed**
- ✓ **Can create intelligent development plan due to both internally- and externally-derived data sources**

Sierra Oncology Clinical Program

Sierra's pipeline affords numerous combination opportunities, with SOC and with other investigational agents

Program	Indication	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Registration
Momelotinib monotherapy	Myelofibrosis	Topline results expected by February 2022			
Momelotinib + SRA515*	Myelofibrosis	Planned for H1 2022			
SRA515 monotherapy and/or **SRA515 + SRA737**	Heme malignancies**	Finalizing Design			
SRA515 monotherapy and/or **SRA515 + SRA737**	Solid tumor in combo with SOC**	Finalizing Design			
SRA737 + IO/gemcitabine	Solid tumors	Finalizing Design			

*Formerly AZD5153

**Opportunities currently under consideration include co-operative trials